

Pick 3 and solve.

(1) True or False: no need to copy the statements or explain, just write T or F.  
(E.g. one could write (1): TTTTT.)

- Two sheaves  $F$  and  $G$  on a topological space  $X$  are isomorphic if and only if for each  $x \in X$  there is a bijection  $F_x \cong G_x$  between stalks.
- Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of schemes, assume  $Y$  is reduced. Then  $f$  has dense image if and only if the map  $f^\sharp: \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$  is injective.
- A scheme  $X$  is integral if and only if it is reduced and connected.
- Every nonempty scheme has a closed point.
- Let  $X = \text{Spec}(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{F}_3)$ , it is locally Noetherian.

Answer is FTFFF.

(2) Let  $A$  be a ring, and let  $\{f_i\}$  be a (not necessarily finite) set of elements in  $A$  generating unit ideal. Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module, show that the following sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \prod_i M[1/f_i] \rightarrow \prod_{i,j} M[1/f_i f_j]$$

is exact.

(3) Recall that a point  $x$  in a topological space  $X$  is said to be a generic point if its closure  $\overline{\{x\}} = X$ . If  $X$  is a scheme, show that every nonempty irreducible closed subset of  $X$  has a unique generic point.

(4) Show that a scheme  $X$  is affine if and only if there exists finitely many elements  $f_i$  in  $A := \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  generating unit ideal and  $X_{f_i} := \{x \in X \mid f_i \notin m_x \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x}\}$  is affine.

(5) Let  $X$  be a scheme locally of finite type over a field  $k$ . Show that the set of closed points of  $X$  is dense in  $X$ .

A morphism of schemes  $X \rightarrow Y$  is called universal homeomorphism if for any morphism of schemes  $Y' \rightarrow Y$  the pullback morphism  $X \times_Y Y' \rightarrow Y'$  is a homeomorphism. The following questions concern this notion.

(6) If  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a universal homeomorphism, assume  $Y = \text{Spec}(k)$  where  $k$  is a field, and  $X$  is reduced, show that  $X = \text{Spec}(k')$  where  $k \subset k'$  is a purely inseparable field extension.

$X$  is necessarily affine, so the map is affine and universally closed, hence must be integral. Therefore  $X = \text{Spec}(k')$  for an algebra field extension. If it contains a nontrivial separable sub-extension, choose a finite degree such sub-extension  $k \subset \ell$  and contemplate with base change along it yields a contradiction. Conversely, one can show that any purely-inseparable extension is a universal homeomorphism on spectrum.

(7) If we have a factorization  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$  such that  $g \circ f$  is a universal homeomorphism. Show that  $f$  is a universal homeomorphism if and only if  $g$  is a universal homeomorphism.

The only hint: The diagonal of a universal homeomorphism is an immersion and a homeomorphism, hence is a closed immersion corresponding to an ideal-sheaf affine locally given by nilpotents, therefore itself a universal homeomorphism.

(8) Let  $R$  be a DVR with uniformizer  $\pi$ . Consider the following scheme  $X = \text{Spec}(R[x]) \setminus \{s\}$  where  $s$  is the maximal ideal  $(\pi, x)$ . Show that  $X$  is not an affine scheme.

The ring of global function is seen to be  $R[x]$ , and the map  $can$  from below does not hit the maximal ideal  $(\pi, x)$ .

Recall that given any scheme  $X$ , it admits a canonical morphism to an affine scheme  $can: X \rightarrow Y := \text{Spec}(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}))$  coming from identity map on global section of structure sheaves. The following questions concern this map.

- (9) If  $X$  is irreducible, show the map  $can$  has dense image.
- (10) If  $X$  is quasi-compact, show the map  $can$  has dense image.
- (11) Construct an example of  $X$  such that the map  $can$  does not have dense image.

For each natural number  $n > 0$ , let  $A_n := k[x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n^\pm, y_{n+1}^\pm, \dots]/(x^i y_i)$ . Notice that each  $\text{Spec}(A_n) = D(y_n)$  in  $\text{Spec}(A_{n+1})$ . Let  $X = \bigcup_{n>0} \text{Spec}(A_n)$ , then the global function  $x$  is locally nilpotent but not so globally.